Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 746-5 Filed 06/27/17 Page 1 of 3 WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 2 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 3 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION |
| 4 | 000 |
| 5 | |
| 6 | WAYMO LLC, |
| 7 | Plaintiff, |
| 8 | vs. No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA |
| 9 | UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; |
| | OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING, |
| 10 | INC., |
| 11 | Defendants. |
| | / |
| 12 | |
| 13 | WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY |
| 14 | |
| 15 | VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CAMERON POETZSCHER |
| 16 | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA |
| 17 | MONDAY, JUNE 19, 2017 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO, |
| 21 | CSR, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR |
| 22 | CSR LICENSE NO. 9830 |
| 23 | JOB NO. 2642012 |
| 24 | |
| 25 | Pages 1 - 374 |
| | Page 1 |

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 746-5 Filed 06/27/17 Page 2 of 3 WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

| 1 | sense to us, were too easy, weren't aligned with our | 15:44 |
|----|--|--------|
| 2 | interests. | 15:44 |
| 3 | You know, for any number of reasons; right? | 15:44 |
| 4 | If we didn't like the terms or conditions, we wouldn't | 15:44 |
| 5 | have signed, same as any other deal. | 15:44 |
| б | Q The term sheet was signed on February 22nd, | 15:44 |
| 7 | 2016, and the acquisition agreement was signed on | 15:45 |
| 8 | April 11th, 2016. | 15:45 |
| 9 | When did Uber decide that it was going to go | 15:45 |
| 10 | ahead with the transaction? | 15:45 |
| 11 | A I mean, on or about April 11th we got final | 15:45 |
| 12 | board approval. Obviously, we didn't do all this work | 15:45 |
| 13 | and make a last-minute, you know, flippant decision. | 15:45 |
| 14 | But clearly, we made a final decision on or about | 15:45 |
| 15 | April 11th. We got board approval, and we signed the | 15:45 |
| 16 | transaction. | 15:45 |
| 17 | Q How long did it take to get board approval? | 15:45 |
| 18 | A I mean, we had a board meeting. We had a | 15:45 |
| 19 | discussion at the with the board. I can't recall | 15:45 |
| 20 | how long it was. It was a serious discussion. And | 15:45 |
| 21 | then after that, we signed. I don't remember the | 15:45 |
| 22 | exact day of the board meeting, whether it's the same | 15:45 |
| 23 | day or previously. | 15:45 |
| 24 | Q Did you attend that board meeting? | 15:45 |
| 25 | A Yes. | 15:45 |
| | Pag | ge 296 |

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 746-5 Filed 06/27/17 Page 3 of 3 WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

| 1 | Q Who else was there? | 15:45 |
|----|--|--------|
| 2 | A The members of the Board of Directors. I | 15:45 |
| 3 | don't recall exactly who was there. | 15:45 |
| 4 | Q Were all of the members of the Board of | 15:45 |
| 5 | Directors there? | 15:45 |
| 6 | A I don't recall. | 15:45 |
| 7 | Q Do you recall any missing? | 15:45 |
| 8 | A I recall there were some on the phone, so | 15:45 |
| 9 | there were definitely some who weren't in the room. I | 15:45 |
| 10 | don't know if between phone and room, there were still | 15:46 |
| 11 | some who were not in attendance at all. I don't know. | 15:46 |
| 12 | Q Were there any discussions about whether | 15:46 |
| 13 | whether the acquisition should be brought to the | 15:46 |
| 14 | entire board versus only a portion of the board? | 15:46 |
| 15 | A I believe it was brought to a regular board | 15:46 |
| 16 | meeting where all of the board members were invited. | 15:46 |
| 17 | Whether they all attended, again, I can't recall. | 15:46 |
| 18 | Q But, as far as you know, all of the board | 15:46 |
| 19 | members were invited to that meeting? | 15:46 |
| 20 | A As far as I know. The only caveat I would | 15:46 |
| 21 | say is that I don't recall whether David Drummond, who | 15:46 |
| 22 | was a Google executive, was still a member of the | 15:46 |
| 23 | board. So, to the extent he was, I'm sure we would | 15:46 |
| 24 | have not invited him or had him recuse himself. | 15:46 |
| 25 | Obviously, we had great concerns about having | 15:46 |
| | Pag | ge 297 |
| | | |